## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No. 1:05-cr-163-25
v.	)	Honorable Robert Holmes Bell
LATRICIA CAPRI TAYLOR,	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pursuant to W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1, I conducted a plea hearing in the captioned case on January 18, 2007, after receiving the written consent of defendant and all counsel. At the hearing, defendant Latricia Capri Taylor agreed to waive the Indictment and plead guilty to a Superseding Felony Information, charging defendant with unlawful use of a communications facility (i.e., a telephone) in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime in violation of Title 21, U.S.C. § 843(b), in exchange for the undertakings made by the government in the written plea agreement. On the basis of the record made at the hearing, I find that defendant is fully capable and competent to enter an informed plea; that the plea is made knowingly and with full understanding of each of the rights waived by defendant; that it is made voluntarily and free from any force, threats, or promises, apart from the promises in the plea agreement; that the defendant understands the nature of the charge and penalties provided by law; and that the plea has a sufficient basis in fact.

Case 1:05-cr-00163-PLM ECF No. 856 filed 01/18/07 PageID.2876 Page 2 of 2

I therefore recommend that defendant's plea of guilty to waive the indictment and

plead guilty to a Superseding Felony Information be accepted, that the court adjudicate defendant

guilty, and that the written plea agreement be considered for acceptance at the time of sentencing.

It is further recommended that the order setting conditions of defendant's release remain in effect

pending sentencing. Acceptance of the plea, adjudication of guilt, acceptance of the plea agreement,

determination of defendant's status pending sentencing, and imposition of sentence are specifically

reserved for the district judge.

The Clerk is directed to procure a transcript of the plea hearing for review by the

District Judge.

Date: January 18, 2007

/s/ Timothy P. Greeley

TIMOTHY P. GREELEY

United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

You have the right to <u>de novo</u> review of the foregoing findings by the district judge. Any application for review must be in writing, must specify the portions of the findings or proceedings objected to, and must be filed and served no later than ten days after the plea hearing. *See* W.D. MICH. L.CR.R. 11.1(d). A failure to file timely objections may result in the waiver of any further right to seek appellate review of the plea-taking procedure. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985);

Neuman v. Rivers, 125 F.3d 315, 322-23 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir.), cert. denied, 522 U.S. 1030 (1997); United States

v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).

- 2 -